



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,267	03/23/2006	Qingshan Huang	H0757.70000US00	6419
23628 7590 08/18/2009 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206				
EXAMINER				
TSAY, MARSHA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1656				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/526,267 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Marsha M. Tsay </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1656 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/526,267	Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL.	Examiner Marsha M. Tsay	Art Unit 1656
Application No. 10/526,267	Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL.				
Examiner Marsha M. Tsay	Art Unit 1656				

All Participants:

(1) Marsha M. Tsay.

(2) Daniel Young, PhD.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(David J. Steadman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Please replace the Interview Summary of July 23, 2009 with the instant summary. The July 23, 2009 Interview Summary was inadvertently transcribed onto a PTOL-413 form instead of a PTOL-413B form. The correct Interview Summary form is used herein.

Substance of the Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary of July 20, 2009:
a telephone call was placed to Applicants' representative to note that the primers labeled as P3 (SEQ ID NO: 60) and P4 (SEQ ID NO: 61) (disclosed in paragraph 4, p. 13 of the specification) are the same as the primers labeled as P1 (SEQ ID NO: 60) and P2 (SEQ ID NO: 61), respectively, (disclosed in paragraph 5, p. 11 of the specification).

.